Received 22 July 2002

Accepted 12 August 2002

Online 16 August 2002

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Mao-Lin Hu,<sup>a</sup> Ming-De Ye,<sup>a</sup> Sharifuddin M. Zain<sup>b</sup> and Seik Weng Ng<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Material Science, Wenzhou Normal College, Wenzhou 325027, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: seikweng@um.edu.my

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.008 Å R factor = 0.058 wR factor = 0.181 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The 2-aminopyrimidin-1-ium trichloroacetate ion-pairs in the title compound,  $C_4H_6N_3^+ \cdot C_2Cl_3O_2^-$ , are held together by two N-H···O hydrogen bonds [N<sub>amino</sub>···O = 2.733 (5);

 $N_{pyrimidinium} \cdots O = 2.783$  (6) Å], and adjacent ion-pairs are

linked into a linear helical chain by a third and substantially

2-Aminopyrimidin-1-ium trichloroacetate

weaker  $N - H \cdots O$  hydrogen bond  $[N \cdots O = 3.026 (3) Å]$ . The calculated structure of the ion-pair geometry, optimized at the *PM3* level, shows good agreement with the experimental results.

A number of carboxylic acids have been crystallographically documented as secondary-ammonium carboxylates; these compounds generally adopt dimeric hydrogen-bonded motifs (Ng *et al.*, 2001). In the title 2-aminopyrimidin-1-ium carboxylate, the amino group forms a hydrogen bond with an O atom of the carboxylate group, whose second O atom is involved in hydrogen bonding with the protonated N atom of the aromatic cation (Fig. 1). The ion-pairs are linked by a third, substantially weaker, hydrogen bond to furnish a helical chain that runs parallel to the *b* axis of the monoclinic cell (Fig. 2).



The calculated structure of the ion-pair that has been optimized at the *PM3* level (Table 1) shows good agreement with the solid-state structure in the hydrogen-bonding interaction. However, the calculated structure has a delocalized carboxylate  $-COO^{-}$  group, whereas the geometry of this group in the experimental structure is indicative of at least partial localization of single and double carbon–oxygen bonds.

### Experimental

The organic salt was the crystalline compound that resulted from the reaction of europium perchlorate (0.45 g, 1 mmol), 2-aminopyrimidine (0.20 g, 2 mmol) and trichloroacetic acid (0.33 g, 2 mmol). 2-Aminopyrimidine dissolved in a small volume of ethanol was added to europium perchlorate dissolved in water to afford a precipitate. Trichloroacetic acid, dissolved in water, was then added to dissolve the precipitate; the clear solution was filtered and then set aside. Colorless crystals of the title compound separated from the solution after several days.

© 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



#### Figure 1

*ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976) plot of the hydrogen-bonded ion-pair. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii.



### Figure 2

*ORTEP*II (Johnson, 1976) plot, showing the ion-pairs linked by a weak N···O hydrogen bond  $[N3 \cdot \cdot O1^i = 3.026 \ (6) \ \text{Å}; N3 - H \cdot \cdot O^i = 137 \ (5)^\circ;$  symmetry code (i):  $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ] into a helical chain along the *b* axis.

#### Crystal data

 $C_4H_6N_3^+ \cdot C_2Cl_3O_2^ D_x = 1.677 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $M_r = 258.49$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 1504 Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ a = 8.468 (2) Åreflections b = 11.297 (2) Å  $\theta = 2.5 - 24.8^{\circ}$ c = 10.957 (2) Å  $\mu = 0.87 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  $\beta = 102.314(3)^{\circ}$ T = 298 (2) KV = 1024.1 (3) Å<sup>3</sup> Block, colorless  $0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.10 \mbox{ mm}$ Z = 4

#### Data collection

Siemens CCD area-detector diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: none 5155 measured reflections 1748 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.058$   $wR(F^2) = 0.181$  S = 1.041748 reflections 139 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

### Table 1

Comparison of experimental and geometry-optimized bond dimensions  $(\mathring{A},{}^{\circ}).$ 

1081 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0924P)^2]$ 

where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.041$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 24.8^{\circ}$ 

 $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} k=-13\rightarrow 12\\ l=-10\rightarrow 12 \end{array}$ 

+ 0.867P]

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 1.04 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.51 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

	X-ray structure	Geometry-optimized structure
Cl1-C2	1.772 (5)	1.757
Cl2-C2	1.753 (5)	1.754
Cl3-C2	1.760 (5)	1.760
O1-C1	1.250 (6)	1.263
O2-C1	1.227 (6)	1.253
N1-C3	1.362 (6)	1.404
N1-C4	1.344 (6)	1.382
N2-C3	1.338 (6)	1.402
N2-C6	1.321 (7)	1.328
N3-C3	1.315 (7)	1.351
C1-C2	1.563 (7)	1.531
C4-C5	1.360 (7)	1.374
C5-C6	1.387 (8)	1.418
N1···O1	2.733 (5)	2.704
N3···O2	2.783 (6)	2.721
C4-N1-C3	120.9 (4)	118.5
C6-N2-C3	116.7 (4)	119.0
O1-C1-O2	128.8 (5)	121.1
O1-C1-C2	114.7 (4)	118.6
O2-C1-C2	116.4 (5)	120.3
C1-C2-Cl1	111.1 (3)	111.0
C1-C2-Cl2	112.8 (3)	113.5
C1-C2-Cl3	108.2 (3)	109.4
Cl1-C2-Cl2	106.6 (3)	107.8
Cl1-C2-Cl3	108.0 (3)	108.1
Cl2-C2-Cl3	110.1 (3)	107.9
N1-C3-N2	121.5 (5)	121.1
N1-C3-N3	118.5 (5)	120.2
N2-C3-N3	119.9 (5)	118.8
N1-C4-C5	119.3 (5)	120.7
C4-C5-C6	116.8 (5)	119.1
N2-C6-C5	124.6 (5)	121.7
$N1 - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O1$	177 (5)	180
$N2-H\cdots O2$	166 (5)	168

The nitrogen-bound H-atoms were located and refined, subject to  $N-H = 0.85\pm0.01$  Å; the aromatic H atoms were positioned geometrically and were allowed to ride on their parent C-atoms, with  $U(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . A residual peak of 1 e Å<sup>-3</sup> was found at 1.1 Å from the Cl3 atom.

For the geometry-optimization calculations, the initial structure was taken from the X-ray results. It was optimized in its lowest energy state (singlet spin state), using the semi-empirical quantum chemical *PM3* Hamiltonian in *HYPERCHEM* (Hypercube, Inc., 2001). The unrestricted Hartree–Fock method was employed in the optimization.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1997); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*II (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997).

The authors thank Nanjing University for the diffraction measurements, and the Education Commission of Zhejiang Province (grant No. 20010129), Wenzhou Normal College, and the University of Malaya (F0717/2002A) for supporting this work.

### References

- Bruker (1997). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Hypercube Inc. (2001). *HYPERCHEM*. Release 6.01 for Windows. Hypercube Inc., Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA.
- Ng, S. W., Chantrapromma, S., Razak, I. A. & Fun, H.-K. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57, 291–292.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.